

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,324	06/22/2001	Juha Punnonen	0169.310US	7238	
30560	7590 03/08/2004		EXAM	INER	
MAXYGEN, INC.			GAMBEL, PHILLIP		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 515 GALVESTON DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RED WOOD	CITY, CA 94063		1644	1644	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/888,324	PUNNONEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip Gambel	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with a	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS at cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 O</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 259-381 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 259-381 are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
		`
)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Peferances Cited (PTO 802)	A) [] (man dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan d	man; (DTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/888,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner and the location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1644, Technology Center 1600.

2. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 259-301, 347 and 368 without traverse in applicant's Response to Restriction Requirement, filed 10/22/03 is acknowledged.

Upon a review of the elected Group, it is noted that claim 347,drawn to a cell comprising the polypeptide is distinct from the polypeptide. Therefore, the Restriction has been re-drafted herein. The examiner apologizes to applicant for any inconvenience in this matter.

3.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I.Claims 259-301 368, drawn to polypeptides, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.

Applicant is required to amend claim 2291, 294, 295 with the appropriate SEQ ID NOS.

- II. Claim 347, drawn to a cell, classified in Class 435, subclass 325.
- III. Claims 302-346, 348-367, 381, drawn to nucleic acids and vectors, host cells and compositions comprising said nucleic acids, classified in Class 435, subclasses 252.3 and 455; Class 514, subclass 44; and Class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claim 369, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- V. Claim 370, drawn to methods of producing polypeptides in vitro, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- VI. Claim 371-372, drawn to methods of producing polypeptides in vivo, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- VII. Claims 373-380, drawn to methods of modulating immune response with nucleic acids, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,324

Art Unit: 1644

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions in Groups I, II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the products of each Group differ in structure, function and effect, have different modes of operation, and yield different results. Specifically, proteins, cells, nucleic acids and antibodies differ in structure and modes of actions.
- 5. Inventions in Group I and in Groups V and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the protein product can be made by the expression method of Group V or VI as well as by various biochemical and recombinant methods.
- 6 Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Also, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 7. Inventions in Group III and in Groups IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid of Group III can be used in the expression methods of Group V or VI, in nucleic acid hybridization methods, in amplification methods, or in nucleic acid purification methods
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Group VII: wherein the nucleic acid is administered:
 - A) in vivo or
 - B) ex vivo or in vitro.

These species are distinct because the methods rely upon different method steps and ingredients.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 373 is generic for example.

Art Unit: 1644

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Group VII: wherein the targeted disease or disorder is selected from that disclose in the specification as filed (see pages 68, 133-136 and 158-174 of the instant specification).

These species are distinct because the diseases or disorders differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 373 is generic for example.

Therefore, applicant is required to elect a particular mode of administering the claimed nucleic acid and a particular disease or disorder if Group VII is elected.

8. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1644

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phillip Gambel, PhD.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

PHULIE AMIZE

March 3, 2004